

# The Role of $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ in the Preparation of $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Eu}^{3+}$ Phosphor from the Oxides

LAWRENCE SUCHOW\*, VINCENT J. FLYNN

*Chemistry Division, Newark College of Engineering, Newark, New Jersey 07102, USA*

PHILIP M. JAFFE

*Zenith Radio Corporation, Chicago, Illinois 60639, USA*

It has been observed that  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  enhances the cathodoluminescence and the 2537 Å-excited photoluminescence, and also causes whitening of the body colour of  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Eu}^{3+}$  prepared from the oxides. In both types of luminescence, these effects are apparently due to the  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  behaving as a mineraliser, i.e. a diffusion enhancer. In the case of photoluminescence, additional brightness enhancement resulting from incorporation of  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  is due to a type of sensitisation to the exciting wavelength.

## 1. Introduction

This paper reports that  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  enhances the photoluminescence and cathodoluminescence of  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Eu}^{3+}$  prepared from the oxides, summarises studies carried out in an effort to determine the reason or reasons for this effect, and points out that one of the apparent causes, generally overlooked in phosphor preparation, could be of much more general application than simply the case described herein.

Optimised commercial  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Eu}^{3+}$  phosphors (which are white, and emit principally in the red) usually contain about 5 mol %  $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$ . Preparations are most often made by firing coprecipitated mixtures of the oxalates (or sometimes hydroxides) of yttrium and europium for 2 to 4 h in air at 1250°C after pre-firing 1 h in oxygen at 800°C to decompose the oxalates (or hydroxides).

Alternatively, it is possible to prepare good  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Eu}^{3+}$  phosphors by firing the oxides in the presence of molten fluxes which may or may not then be washed out of the product. One example of such a flux is  $\text{BaCl}_2$  [1]. A recent patent [2] describes a process in which decomposition of

coprecipitated oxalates on firing is followed by further heating in the presence of fluxes such as fluorides, vanadates, and borates. Direct preparation from oxides would be preferable because of the greater simplicity of the method.

## 2. Preparation and Luminescence

In the present work, the procedure employed was to fire mixtures of oxides† (thoroughly ground with mortar and pestle) for 3.5 h in covered platinum crucibles in air at 1250°C. It was found that  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$  containing 5 mol %  $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$  when prepared in this way had a yellowish-tan body colour and exhibited much poorer 2537 Å-excited photoluminescence than commercial phosphors prepared from coprecipitated oxalates, and that other preparations with less than 5 mol %  $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$  exhibited a similar body colour and were even poorer phosphors. Preparations with only 0.5 mol %  $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$  were in fact more deeply coloured than those with 5 mol %  $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$ . However, when 2.5 mol %  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  was ground into raw mixtures of the yttrium and europium oxides, the fired products were colourless and

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

†Sources and purities of materials used:

*At Newark College of Engineering:*

$\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$  – Lindsay Rare Earths, Code 1118, 99.9999%.

$\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$  – Ronson Metals Corp., Cubic, 99.97%.

$\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  – City Chemical Corp., 99.999%, and Indium Corp. of America, 99.999%.

*At Zenith Radio Corporation:*

$\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$  – Lindsay Rare Earths, Code 1117, 99.999%.

$\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$  – Lindsay Rare Earths, Code 1013, 99.9%.

$\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  – Penn Rare Metals, Inc., 99.99%.

there was considerable enhancement of both photoluminescence and cathodoluminescence. A similar effect was noted when the additional oxide was  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  rather than  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ , but in this case the effect was somewhat weaker. Neither  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  nor  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  can act as a flux because their melting points are too high.

Table I shows that a  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$  preparation with only 0.5 mol %  $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$  but with 2.5 mol %  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  also present is brighter on excitation with 2537Å radiation than one with 5%  $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$  in the absence of  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ , and that one with 5%  $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$  and 2.5%  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  is much brighter still. However, none of these is quite as bright as an optimised  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:5\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  phosphor prepared from coprecipitated oxalates. Cathodoluminescence brightness data (also in table I) indicate much the same trend, except that addition of  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  causes less enhancement of cathodoluminescence than of photoluminescence because the cathodoluminescence intensities of preparations without  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  are already considerably higher than the photoluminescence values. Addition of  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  to coprecipitated oxalates of Y and Eu prior to firing or to an already prepared optimised phosphor which was then refired did not increase the intensity of either photoluminescence or cathodoluminescence.

The preparations listed in table I were made in two different laboratories, independently by all three authors, and results were reproducible. Other intermediate Eu concentrations were also employed and consistent results were obtained with them as well. It appears sufficient, however, to focus here on several key compositions.

### 3. Band Spectra

#### 3.1. Absorption Band Spectra

Unlike pure  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$ , preparations from the oxides of  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$  doped with either  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  or  $\text{Ga}^{3+}$  have been found to have very similar broad absorption bands in the ultraviolet (but they differ somewhat in that while the  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Eu}^{3+}$  absorbs only below 3200Å, the  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Ga}^{3+}$  absorption extends to 4000Å). In both cases, absorption reaches a maximum in the vicinity of the 2537Å mercury line by which these phosphors are strongly excited.

#### 3.2. Excitation Band Spectra

Excitation spectra of  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Eu}^{3+}$  phosphors prepared from coprecipitated oxalates consist of a rather narrow band peaking at 250 nm. On the other hand, the excitation spectra of correspond-

TABLE I

Phosphor composition	Relative luminescence intensities	
	Photo-luminescence (2537Å excitation)	Cathodo-luminescence
Optimised $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:5\%$ Eu prepared from coprecipitated oxalates	100	100
$\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:5\%$ Eu prepared from oxides	20	56
$\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:5\%$ Eu, 2.5% Ga prepared from oxides	62	79
$\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:0.5\%$ Eu prepared from coprecipitated oxalates	43	80
$\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:0.5\%$ Eu prepared from oxides	5	24
$\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:0.5\%$ Eu, 2.5% Ga prepared from oxides	32	36

ing preparations from the oxides peak at 240 nm, while those to which Ga has been added still peak at 240 nm but exhibit considerably increased excitation in the region from 250 to 260 nm.

### 4. Determination of Actual Concentration of $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ in Solid Solution

#### 4.1. Line Emission Method

In  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$ , which has the cubic  $\text{C-M}_2\text{O}_3$  structure, the cations are found at the centres of distorted cubelets with six of the eight corners containing oxide ions. Three quarters of the cations are in cubelets with the missing anions at the ends of a face diagonal ( $\text{C}_2$  symmetry) and one-quarter in cubelets with the missing oxide ions at the ends of a body diagonal ( $\text{S}_6$  symmetry). When  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ions are substituted for  $\text{Y}^{3+}$  ions, they enter the same sites in the same proportion. The strongest emission line observed on excitation with 2537Å ultraviolet is at 6112Å, but others are also seen at a number of wavelengths including 5804 and 5823Å; these latter two are due to a  $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_0$  transition at a  $\text{C}_2$  site and a  $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_1$  transition at an  $\text{S}_6$  site, respectively [3]. Although the 5804Å line is always sharper and more intense than that at 5823Å, the ratio of peak heights is very sensitive to concentration because in preparations containing higher percentages of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ , energy transfer occurs from  $\text{S}_6$  to  $\text{C}_2$  sites; thus, as the concentration of Eu is decreased

from 5 mol %, the 5823 Å emission becomes somewhat stronger relative to the 5804 Å line than at the higher concentrations [4]. The relative peak heights of these two emissions on 2537 Å excitation of phosphors prepared from  $Y_2O_3$  and 5 mol %  $Eu_2O_3$  with and without  $Ga_2O_3$  present were actually characteristic of phosphors with much less than 5 mol %  $Eu_2O_3$  (much less than 1 % in the absence of Ga and perhaps about 1 % or somewhat less in the one with Ga), as compared with optimised phosphors prepared from coprecipitated oxalates.

#### 4.2. Line Absorption Method

The same conclusion is drawn from line absorption measurements on the same preparation in the blue and green regions of the visible spectrum [4]. The intensities of absorption lines due to  $Eu^{3+}$  indicate that there is not more than 0.5 to 1 %  $Eu^{3+}$  present in the C- $M_2O_3$  structure in or out of solid solution. The remaining  $Eu_2O_3$  is presumably present in the monoclinic B-form.

#### 4.3. X-Ray Diffraction Method

Although the excess B-form  $Eu_2O_3$  cannot be detected in X-ray diffraction patterns because of its low concentration, the conclusion regarding its presence is supported by the observation that the  $Eu_2O_3$  used, though originally in the cubic C-form, undergoes a phase transformation to the monoclinic B-form on firing under the same conditions used for the phosphor preparation.

The preparations from the oxides of  $Y_2O_3:2.5\% Ga^{3+}$ ;  $Y_2O_3:5\% Eu^{3+}$ ; and  $Y_2O_3:5\% Eu^{3+}, 2.5\% Ga^{3+}$  all have X-ray patterns indistinguishable from that of  $Y_2O_3$ , even in the back reflection region. This again indicates solution of 1 % or less  $Eu^{3+}$  or  $Ga^{3+}$  in  $Y_2O_3$ .

### 5. Formation of $Y_3GaO_6$

$Y_2O_3$  and  $Ga_2O_3$  in molar ratios  $\geq 3:1$  react to form  $Y_3GaO_6$ , leaving any excess  $Y_2O_3$  as a second phase [5]. In order to obtain relevant information regarding the temperatures of formation and stability of  $Y_3GaO_6$ , a 3:1 mixture was heated for 24 h at 1350 °C and the product cooled rapidly by air-quenching. Some of the product was then reheated for 4 h at 1250 °C and cooled in the same way. Both were found to have the same X-ray diffraction powder pattern. Additional attempts to prepare this compound in 24 h periods at lower temperatures indicated that formation commences at about 1200 °C and that

it is definitely formed at 1250 °C but to a lesser extent than at 1350 °C.

### 6. Conclusions and Discussion

The results summarised above indicate that the  $Ga_2O_3$  serves to enhance  $Eu^{3+}$  diffusion so that more  $Eu_2O_3$  can dissolve in  $Y_2O_3$  at a given temperature in a given time when one fires a ground mixture of oxides. The darkening of the body colour of preparations made in the absence of  $Ga_2O_3$  is a typical impurity effect, probably due to non-equilibrium incorporation of at least some of the  $Eu^{3+}$  on interstitial sites which results in local electronic disturbances causing broad absorption in the shorter wavelength portion of the visible spectrum.

The amplitude shift in the excitation spectrum on addition of  $Ga^{3+}$  results in a better match with the 2537 Å mercury line employed for excitation. However, this cannot be the entire explanation for the effect reported herein because the cathodoluminescence intensity is also increased (though relatively less than the photoluminescence) by the addition of  $Ga_2O_3$ ; since the cathodoluminescence is independent of absorption in the ultraviolet,  $Ga^{3+}$  must be more than a sensitiser in the usual sense. Certainly it does not sensitise  $Y_2O_3:Eu^{3+}$  in the same sense that  $Bi^{3+}$  does because  $Y_2O_3:Ga^{3+}$  has no emission in the visible like that of  $Y_2O_3:Bi^{3+}$ . The lack of effect of  $Ga^{3+}$  addition on optimised phosphors indicates that it is not an essential coactivator at all.

$Ga^{3+}$  does not here act as a charge compensator because all cations involved are trivalent. Size effects are unlikely because the ionic radii of  $Y^{3+}$  and  $Eu^{3+}$  are not very different from each other so that  $Y_2O_3$  and  $Eu_2O_3$  should have no difficulty forming substitutional solid solutions under proper preparative conditions.

Possible explanations for the shift in excitation spectrum in the presence of  $Ga_2O_3$  are: (1) the movement of greater amounts of  $Eu^{3+}$  ions into equilibrium substitutional positions (as in phosphors prepared from oxalates), thus creating a charge transfer absorption band slightly different from that resulting from  $Eu^{3+}$  on interstitial sites; (2) a dependence on total activator concentration; or (3) that, when  $Ga^{3+}$  is present along with  $Eu^{3+}$  whose concentration is too low to permit optimum absorption of the exciting 2537 Å ultraviolet, the  $Ga^{3+}$  causes an increase in the absorption, following which energy is transferred to  $Eu^{3+}$  ions for eventual

emission. In this last case, the  $\text{Ga}^{3+}$  would be a true sensitizer-type coactivator in the photoluminescence process. Even in the cases of the two other proposed possibilities, the ultimate effect may be thought of as a type of sensitization to the exciting wavelength. More than one of the three may occur simultaneously, but in any case, it is this "sensitization" which explains why the cathodoluminescence intensities of preparations from oxides in the absence of  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  (and those of other preparations with low  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  concentration) are considerably greater than the photoluminescence values. Photoluminescence of such preparations benefits from the addition of  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  in two ways, as "sensitizer" and as diffusion enhancer, while cathodoluminescence does not require sensitization and therefore starts out higher and is enhanced only by the improved diffusion in the presence of  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ .

Although the preparation experiments indicate no structural or compositional changes or equilibria at the reaction temperature which could perhaps explain increased mobility of ions, it is possible that the process of formation of  $\text{Y}_3\text{GaO}_6$ , even at  $1250^\circ\text{C}$ , would cause sufficient local agitation and thermal effects so that more  $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$  would be made to enter the  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$  lattice.

Another possibility is that the  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  simply lowers the melting point of the system and therefore permits greater diffusion at a given temperature even in the solid state (that is, the Tamman temperature concept).  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$  melts at  $2410^\circ\text{C}$ , while  $\text{Y}_3\text{GaO}_6$  melts incongruently at  $1770^\circ\text{C}$  yielding  $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$  and a liquid [6].

Even if the mechanism is not yet known, it seems quite clear that the  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$  does enhance diffusion and acts as what is often called a "mineralizer", which, unlike a flux, does not melt

at the temperature at which it is employed. (Examples of such mineralizers are given in references [7] and [8].) However, this term undoubtedly covers a multitude of unknown mechanisms. Regardless, mineralizers could probably be very useful in phosphor preparation generally; apparently they have not often, if at all, been so utilized.

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank a considerable number of members of the research staff of the Zenith Radio Corporation for their co-operation in making measurements and tests on materials described herein. Mr George Ban is deserving of special mention for the line spectra measurements. This study was supported in part by the National Science Foundation through Grants GP-7100 and GP-11527.

### References

1. F. J. AVELLA and F. C. PALILLA, US Patent 3,368,980, 13 February, 1968.
2. Matsushita Electronics Corp., French Patent 1,550,113, 20 December, 1968.
3. H. FOREST and G. BAN, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **116** (1969) 474.
4. *Idem*, private communication.
5. S. J. SCHNEIDER, R. S. ROTH, and J. L. WARING, *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand.* **65A** (1961) 345.
6. M. DRYŚ and W. TRZEBIATOWSKI, *Roczniki Chem.* **42** (1968) 203.
7. I. A. DMITRIEV, V. S. BYKOVSKII, and E. G. SEMIN, *Izvest. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Neorg. Mater.* **4** (1968) 2213.
8. A. I. LYUDVINSKII and L. B. ROMANOVSKII, *Khim. Tekhnol.* **12** (1969) 188.

Received 25 May and accepted 30 September 1971.